ERES 2010 Project Finance for Infrastructures

24 June, 2010



PRICEWATERHOUSE COOPERS 10

Corporate Finance

Table of Contents

- 1. Project Finance: what is PF?
- 2. Project Finance Vs Other Lending Models
- **3. Public Private Partnerships**
- 4. Credit Crunch
- **5. International PPP Market**
- 6. Italian Infrastructure Market
- 7. Contact details

Section 1 Project Finance: what is PF?

PRICEWATERHOUSE COOPERS M

Corporate Finance

Section 1 – Project Finance: what is PF and key drivers for its development

Project Finance What is Project Finance



Project Finance ("PF") is a financial **technique** that involves a corporate sponsor **investing** in and **owning** a single-purpose industrial asset through an economically and legally **independent** entity.

The providers of funds are primarily interested in the **cash flows generated** by the **project**.

This scheme of financing is project-specific and is debt, rather than equity, driven.

Section 1 - Project Finance: what is PF and key drivers for its development

Project Finance Introduction



Project Finance Main Features

| Cash-Flow based | The project, as well as its assets, contracts, inherent economies and cash flows are separable from promoters/sponsors in order to allow independent credit appraisal. |
|------------------------|--|
| Off-Balance | Attractiveness of Project Finance lies in its capability to fund projects off balance sheet, without impacting Sponsors' merit of credit. |
| Limited/No Recourse | In case of project failure, lenders are allowed recourse only to the project assets, with limited or no recourse to other assets of the equity investors. |
| Ring Fenced | In case of Sponsor's failure, Sponsors' corporate lenders are not allowed to recourse to the Special Purpose Vehicle assets. |
| Risk Allocation | Project risks identification, analysis, allocation and mitigation are the key aspects of Project Financing. |

Project Finance Pros & Cons

Pros

- Allows promoters to undertake projects without impacting their ability to borrow for traditional projects.
- Limits project financial risks to the amount of equity/quasi-equity invested.
- High leverage is possible as lenders are assured that cash flows from the project will not be used for other corporate uses.
- Project finance provides strong incentives for careful project evaluation and risk assessment.
- Deep technical and financial review of the projects provides a very high probability of repayment (> 95%).

Cons

- Complexity: high number of involved parties links to high transaction costs.
- Costs: levels of due diligence required lead to high project development costs.
- Time-consuming negotiations.
- Volume and complexity of information required.

Specific skills required

- Sophisticated financial modelling competences.
- Financial structuring competencies.
- Fund raising competencies.
- Negotiation skills.

Project Finance Objectives of Project Finance transactions

Objectives of Project Finance Transaction

- Ensure availability of financial resources to the project
- Secure the necessary *funds* at the *lowest* possible *cost*
- Minimize sponsors' exposure to the project
- Establish a dividend policy to *maximize* the *return on equity* subject to the *constraints* imposed by the lenders (financial covenants)
- Maximize the value of Tax Benefits
- Achieve a beneficial regulatory treatment

| Pre-commitment of Funds Commitment from lenders and equity investors have to be coordinated and inter-related in order to cover the initial construction expenditure amount | Maximum Feasible Debt to Equity ratio Expected profitability and operating risks of the project Adequacy of project security arrangements |
|---|---|
| Timing of the Drawdown | Expected Project Cash Flows Profile |
| The drawdowns schedule should match the schedule of construction expenditure | The project's cash flows determine the debt repayment schedule. The cash flows structure should cover the |
| Lenders can require a certain amount of equity to be invested before first debt drawdown take place (Equity upfront payment) | maturities of fund raised |

Project Finance Cash Flow Based



Cushion \rightarrow Debt Capacity > Debt Repayment

Section 1 - Project Finance: what is PF and key drivers for its development

Project Finance Ratios



Risk reduction

Project Finance Risk Analysis

Why is it important to understand the Risk of the Project?

- To *allocate* the risks among involved parties
 - > key element in negotiations
 - > risk / return reflected in the payment mechanism
- To evaluate and manage the project risks
 - > risk response strategy (i.e. risk matrix)

More Information → Less Uncertainty

Project Finance Key Risks

| Pre-completion risk | Operating risk | Market risk |
|--|--|---|
| Risks that face a project reaching completion and being able to deliver the required service Abandonment / delays / cost overruns / partial failures Generally perceived as the highest area of risk in any project as least 'manageable'. | A project is defined as complete once it has reached, and usually maintained for a minimum period, a certain level of operating efficiency. Operating risk starts when the project can operate to specification. Sub-optimal performance due to poor design or build / poor operating management / rise in operating costs. | A project which is completed successfully, and operates to specifications, may still fail if a change in the market makes its product uncompetitive or unwanted. The aspects of market risk can be broken down into demand, supply and cost. |
| Examples: Scottish Parliament | Examples East Coast Main Line | Examples M6 Toll |

Section 1 – Project Finance: what is PF and key drivers for its development

Project Finance Risk Evolution over the Project Life



Section 1 - Project Finance: what is PF and key drivers for its development

Project Finance Risk Allocation

Identifying the **project's risks** and then analyzing, allocating, and mitigating them is the key in order to structure a project finance. Banks take a big portion of the operating risks if the cash flows margin is sufficient. Otherwise, residual risks have to be mitigated by contracts and guarantees.

- The key issue in order to mitigate risks are:
- counter-party is able to manage the risk;
- counter-party is willing to retain the risk;
- counter-party has financial strength to afford the risk.



- Events/actions that adversely affect revenues/costs, performance, timing and viability of the project.
- Cost, time or reduction in performance in the case this events take place.
- Risk should be managed by the subject best able to manage it.
- Perform actions to reduce the likelihood of the adverse event.
- Quantify the cost of addressing the risk.

Project Finance Risk Matrix



Project Finance Risk Mitigation Strategy



ERES 2010 - Project Finance for Infrastructures

Project Finance Financial Modelling

- A Project Finance loan is secured with its future revenues rather than existing Balance Sheet or other existing assets.
- Completion of project and its profitability during operation are the key concern of the lenders and equity investors.
- Hence all elements that determine costs, revenues and returns of the project are keys in order to structure the project.
- Analysis of projected cash flows is essential from the bankability point of view.
- The results of *financial analysis* support the decision whether the project is sound enough to be pursued by:
 - ✓ giving an initial figure for project *internal rate* of *return* (IRR);
 - ✓ establishing a sustainable Financial structure;
 - ✓ reassuring lenders and equity investors to the attractiveness of the Project.

Project Finance Scheme for Financial Modelling



ERES 2010 - Project Finance for Infrastructures

Section 1 - Project Finance: what is PF and key drivers for its development

Project Finance The Role of the Financial Model



Section 2 Project Finance Vs Other Lending Models

3 Key Types of Lending



3 Key Types of Lending Asset Based Lending



3 Key Types of Lending Corporate Based Lending



Bank takes **floating charge** over all the company's **assets**, It is similar to personal loans/credit cards etc..

3 Key Types of Lending Cash Flow Based Lending



Project Finance relies completely on cash flows.

A special purpose company is granted a concession to design, build, finance, operate and maintain infrastructure to provide a specified level of service

It earns income from:

- User charges
- A government payment subject to deductions for substandard services.

The special purpose company's only asset of significance is the concession value.

Example: PPPs, oil exploration



Project Finance Vs Corporate Finance Model

| Criterion | Corporate Finance Model | Project Finance Model |
|-----------------|---|--|
| | Lending to the firm (annual to 3 year horizon). | Lending to the project (asset Lifetime perspective). |
| Organisation | Cash flows generated by different assets/projects (many activities in many places). | Assets and cash flows are separated from other sponsors' activities (Usually single activity in a single place). |
| | Few constraints on management action | Tight constraints on management action |
| | Creditors have full recourse to project sponsors | Limited or non recourse financing |
| | Diale diversified screep apapare' essets | Creditors exposure is project-specific |
| RISK Allocation | portfolio | Contractual agreements signed in order to distribute project risks |
| | Exposed to range of commercial risks | Exposure to a few key risks |
| | Financing can be arranged quickly | Higher financing costs and time |
| Financial | Internally generated funds can be used to | consuming |
| Flexibility | finance other projects | Internally generated funds are reserved for investors repayment |
| | Under managers judgment | Generally free cash flows to equity are |
| Free Cash Flows | Cash flows mingled and then allocated as per the corporate policy | fully distributed to the equity investors |
| | Creditors look at sponsors' entire assets portfolio for debt service repayment | Creditors look at specific project/assets for debt service repayment |
| Debt Capacity | Low debt:equity ratios eg 3:2 | Debt contracts tailored to specific characteristics of the project |
| | | High debt:equity ratios eq 9:1 |

Section 3 Public Private Partnerships

Section 2 - Public Private Partnerships

Public Private Partnerships Introduction (1/2)

"A cooperative venture between the public and private sectors, built on the expertise of each partner, that best meets clearly defined public needs."

- Canadian Council for Public-Private Partnerships



What is the Public Private Partnership The Public Private Partnership (**PPP**) describes a government service or private business venture which is **funded** and **operated** through a **partnership** of **government** and one or more **private sector companies**.

PPP involves a **contract** between a **public sector authority** and a **private party**, in which the private party **provides** a public service or project and **assumes** substantial financial, technical and operational **risk** in the project.

Section 2 - Public Private Partnerships

Public Private Partnerships Introduction (2/2)

Key Differences between PPPs and Traditional Procurement:

- Transfer and sharing of risk;
- Private sector equity investments required;
- Single long-term Concession Agreement versus multiple contracts;
- Private sector returns and payments linked to satisfactory delivery of the asset and performance over the life of the contract;
- Timing of payments.



Public Private Partnerships Main Area of Application

| Public & Social Infrastructures | | Traditional Infrastructures | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| | Prison | | Power&Energy |
| LEC | Hospital | | Construction |
| | Roads | | Public Transport |
| | Water Infrastructure | | Airports |
| | School | | Sports Center |

Project Finance Key Drivers for PPP Development

| Efficient procurement, design construction and operation | User Payments | Budgetary and Accounting |
|---|--|---|
| Transfer of key risks - construction cost overruns, construction delays, commissioning problems, operating issues; Transfer of whole life cost risk | Creating a new charge for services usually leads to the government considering whether it needs private sector involvement. •Toll roads have been operated | In most territories, PPPs can be deemed off balance for the government; In the eurozone, the key test is ESA 95 – "is construction and either |
| produces better designed, optimised solutions; | successfully by both government and private sector; | availability or usage risk transferred?"; |
| Transfer of cost/time risk improves costing of contracts and speeds up delivery of contracts; | Existing user paid government services may be privatised to free up | Opportunity for governments running deficits to defer the impact of new assets on their accounts; |
| PPP concession contracts lock in quality and stop raids on maintenance budgets. | Airports have historically been more profitable in the private sector | Not necessarily a bad thing – in growing economies can provide a better match between necessary |
| Examples: UK Private Finance Initiative Canada P3 programme PPPs in Holland and Norway | Examples: Toll Roads in the USA, Spain, Italy Airport privatisations/commercialisations throughout the world | investment. Examples: Hungarian and Slovakian Roads |

Public Private Partnerships PPP schemes (1/2)

BOT

Build-operate-transfer (BOT) contracts are designed to bring private investment into the construction of new infrastructure plants. Under a BOT, the private sector finances, builds and operates a new infrastructure facility or system according to performance standards set by the government.

The government retains ownership of the infrastructure facilities and becomes both the customer and the regulator of the service.



Other Concessions Schemes

BOO (Build, Own and Operate)

- BTO (Build Transfer and Operate)
- BOST (Build, Operate, Subsidize and Transfer)
- BLT (Build, Lease and Transfer)

Public Private Partnership PPP schemes (2/2)

| PPP's scheme | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Property | Initiative | Design | Construction | O&M | Financial Responsability |
| Design, Build | PA | ΡΑ | Private with contract | Private with contract | ΡΑ | ΡΑ |
| Build, Transfer and Operate (BTO) | ΡΑ | ΡΑ | Private with contract | Private with contract | PA PA/Private | ΡΑ |
| Cofinancing | PA | ΡΑ | Private with contract | Private with contract | Private with contract | PA/Private |
| Build, Operate and Transfer (BOT) | ΡΑ | PA o Privato | Private with contract | Private with contract | Private with contract | Private |
| Build, Own, Operate (BOO) | Private | PA / Private | F | Private with cont | ract (Concessio | n) |

The allocation of responsability and risk is related to scheme selected.



PPPs Vs Property Finance

PPPs

- · Schemes generated by government
- Fixed and fully specified end project.
- Guaranteed income from unitary charge.
- Product definition and pricing certainty provides refinancing opportunity and short term exit potential.

Property Finance

- Schemes generated by mixture of clients
 and developers
- Product not defined or specified, but determined by the market which changes over the life of the project - 5 years min.
- Income subject to market risk
- Nurture of market through up front capital investment provides limited opportunity to exit project before success is clearly established - @ 3 years min before exit.

Section 4 Credit Crunch

Section 4 - Credit Crunch

nightmare"

Credit Crunch Impact on Infrastructure Finance

- The liquidity constraints have certainly impacted the appetite of lenders into infrastructure. Pre-credit crunch, large banks were willing to enter into sole-underwrite positions at a fixed price on large infrastructure deals.
- Now these same banks want one or more **co-underwriters** and require **market flex** (a right for lenders to increase interest rates) on pricing (and sometimes other terms).
- Credit committees want much higher comfort that they will be able to sell down debt through the syndication markets, to avoid holding significant debt on their balance sheets. In this context, banks decided to "**club**" together ahead of financial close to **remove** the risk of changes in terms that may otherwise arise from the market flex process.



Source: Bank of England, Financial Stability Report, April 2008

Credit Crunch Demand/Supply for Project Finance Debt



- PFI is seen as a high quality product;
- Bond market has reduced with the collapse of monoline insurance;
- More demand for bank debt than before, but about 50% of top layer banks have gone;
- Bank debt available has reduced significantly (no banks willing to take on debt to sell down) banks restructure their balance sheets and reduce lending ratios:
 - Maturity of debt has reduced (miniperm structures);
 - ✓ Margins have increased from 50 bps to 300 bps.
- Sources of debt for project finance banks much reduced due to reduction in interbank market.

Result: Increase in demand, reduction in supply

Section 5 International PPP Market

International PPP Market Impact of Credit Crunch

| Banks | Sponsors | Government |
|---|---|--|
| Although PPPs are high quality loans,capacity has shrunk like all other sectors | No shortage of equity available – too many infra funds prior to crunch. | Deals have taken longer to do or been cancelled Increased cost of financing |
| Banks are charging far higher margins,and making more money providing less debt | Sponsors now being pushed around by banks rather than vice versa | is passed through to government. |
| They believe that the door is closing on high margin deals as more banks reenter the market'. | In some cases sponsors asked to take refinancing risk | |

International PPP Market European Union



Costs of PPPs have risen

•Private financing margin over public debt has risen significantly

PPPs are the only infrastructure some governments can afford

•Most PPPs do not count against government debt under Eurostat rules

Governments' focus has moved from accounting rules to rating agencies

•Rating agencies will often count PPP debt as government debt

Richer countries will use to improve value for money

Poorer countries will use to access capital

International PPP Market North America





•Scheme development hamstrung by short termism linked to US political system



Highly successful PPP programme
PPPs in health, transport, accommodation
Increased focus on water and energy

International PPP Market Rest of the World



Strong state level PPP programme

•Move away from user payments to government payments

•New roads, transit and environmental projects coming to market

- •Enormous number of projects coming to market
 - •Projects in transport, water and accommodation

•Drivers for PPP very different from anywhere else in the world

Section 6 Italian Infrastructure Market

Italian Infrastructure Market

Project Finance market breakdown by business

| Italian Project Finance market | (2009) | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--------|--------|--------------|--------|----------------|
| Business | Ν. | % | Value (€mln) | % | Average (€mIn) |
| Water, gas, energy, telecom. | 197 | 14,2% | 1.202,1 | | 6,1 |
| Marinas | 15 | 1,1% | 143,2 | 1,4% | 9,5 |
| Urban planning | 211 | 15,3% | 94,3 | 0,9% | 0,4 |
| Cultural heritage | 3 | 0,2% | 3,1 | 0,0% | 1,0 |
| All-purpose centers | 5 | 0,4% | 2,2 | 0,0% | 0,4 |
| Cemetary | 54 | 3,9% | 93,1 | 0,9% | 1,7 |
| Commerce | 151 | 10,9% | 222,8 | 2,1% | 1,5 |
| Directional | 2 | 0,1% | 11,1 | 0,1% | 5,6 |
| Public Health Service | 11 | 0,8% | 279,4 | 2,7% | 25,4 |
| Leisure Center | 295 | 21,3% | 276,7 | 2,6% | 0,9 |
| Parking | 115 | 8,3% | 232,9 | 2,2% | 2,0 |
| Urban division | 25 | 1,8% | 437,7 | 4,2% | 17,5 |
| Healthcare | 58 | 4,2% | 596,7 | 5,7% | 10,3 |
| Education & Social | 50 | 3,6% | 136,4 | 1,3% | 2,7 |
| Spare time (cinema) | 54 | 3,9% | 103,7 | 1,0% | 1,9 |
| Transportation | 26 | 1,9% | 6.450,6 | 61,7% | 248,1 |
| Turism | 85 | 6,1% | 46,2 | 0,4% | 0,5 |
| Other | 26 | 1,9% | 124,9 | 1,2% | 4,8 |
| Total | 1.383 | 100,0% | 10.457 | 100,0% | 7,6 |

(Source: osservatorio nazionale Project Finance)

Italian Infrastructure Market Investments Value



Investment Value - 2009

(Source: osservatorio nazionale Project Finance)

Italian Infrastructure Market

Project Finance market breakdown by Area

| | Italian Project Finance market | (2009) | | |
|-------|--------------------------------|--------|--------------|---------|
| | Area | Ν. | Value (€mln) | % Value |
| | North - Ovest | 347 | 1.671 | 16,0% |
| | North - Est | 217 | 2.458 | 23,5% |
| <==== | Center | 227 | 4.422 | 42,3% |
| | South | 397 | 1.286 | 12,3% |
| | Sicily / Sardinia | 196 | 619 | 5,9% |
| | Total | 1.384 | 10.457 | 100% |

(Source: osservatorio nazionale Project Finance)

Section 7 Contact Details

Contact Details



| R | iccardo Maria Togni |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| Riccardo Mar | ia Togni |
| E mail: riccard | o.maria.togni@it.pwc.com |
| Telephone: Mobile [:] | +(39) 06 570833 409 +(39) 348 4062416 |
| Fax: | +(39) 06 570833 400 |
| | |

Largo Angelo Fochetti, 2800154 Rome, Italy

| Clement Walsh | |
|---|--|
| Clement Walsh Director E mail: clement.walsh@uk.pwc.com Telephone: +(44) 0 113 289 4059 Mobile: +(44) 0 7801 203114 Fax: | |
| Benson House, 33 Wellington Street, Leeds, LS1 4JP | |